| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|
| | Eastern | | District of | N | Michigan | \mathcal{U} |
| U | NITED STATES OF A | MERICA | _ | | | |
| anke | Uunadu V. Nw Defendand | achukwu | OR Case N | DER OF DETENT | ion pending ti 20425 - 1 | RIAL |
| În secor | Defendant | A-+ 10 YLS C \$ 2140/ | | | , | |
| detention of | rdance with the Bail Reform the defendant pending trial | in this case. | | | le that the following facts | require the |
| (1) The or l | e defendant is charged with a local offense that would have a crime of violence as defin an offense for which the man an offense for which a max | an offense described in e been a federal offense ned in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 aximum sentence is life | if a circumstance gi (a)(4). imprisonment or de | and has been convicted ving rise to federal jurisdic ath. | of a federal offense tion had existed - that is | state |
| П | a felony that was committee | after the defendant had | heen convicted of | two or more prior federal o | ffenses described in 18 I | .* |
| (2) The (3) A port (4) Find | § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or con offense described in finding eriod of not more than five y the offense described in find lings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) es | nparable state or local or g (1) was committed where wears has elapsed since ting ing (1). stablish a rebuttable pres | ffenses. ile the defendant wa he date of con sumption that no con | s on release pending trial for viction release of the indition or combination of | or a federal, state or local defendant from imprisor conditions will reasonably | offense. nment |
| sate | ty of (an) other person(s) an | | | | s presumption. | |
| : [] : [] | re is probable cause to belie for which a maximum term under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | ve that the defendant hat of imprisonment of ten | years or more is pre | nse scribed in | <u></u> | . |
| the a | defendant has not rebutted the ppearance of the defendant e is a serious risk that the de is a serious risk that the de | as required and the safe Alte fendant will not appear | ety of the community ernative Findings (: | (B) E | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Dead II W. W. C. | | | | |
| I find that the derance of the control | the credible testimony and i | Part II—Written St nformation submitted a | | | convincing evidence | a prepon- |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| reasonable opportunity of the extent pro- reasonable opportunity the | ant is committed to the custor acticable, from persons awortunity for private consultate person in charge of the court proceeding. | ody of the Attorney Gene aiting or serving senter ation with defense cour | nces or being held usel. On order of a | representative for confine in custody pending appeal court of the United States at to the United States mars | The defendant shall be so or on request of an atternal | e afforded a |
| . , | Date | | 110 | Signature of Judge Magistrate Judge Mana V. N. | | |
| | | | 0.5. | Magistrate Judge Mona K. M Name and Title of Judge | าสุวยเข | |

2.06-CT-20425-PJD-MKM

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Chukwunadu Shawn Nwachukwu Order of Detention

Defendant comes before the Court on a Petition for Warrant for Violation of Conditions of Supervised Release. Defendant was convicted of Felon in Possession of a Firearm, and on 09/09/2008 was sentenced to 40 months incarceration, to be followed by a two year term of supervised release in this district, which term of supervision commenced on 12/28/2011.

On May 21, 2013 Defendant pled guilty to violations of his supervised release. He was ordered continued on supervised release and Judge Duggan added the following special condition: "Zero tolerance towards any use of alcohol". Defendant was ordered to present himself and undergo weekly alcohol and drug testing.

Since the May 13 violation hearing before Judge Duggan, Defendant has evaded and avoided all means of substance abuse testing by his supervising officer. Specifically, for the past 7 weeks Defendant has managed to fail to timely appear for his scheduled visits with his supervising officer on May 15, 2013, May 17, 2013, June 3, 2013, and he has also failed to appear for any of his drug screens, including those scheduled for June 11 and June 17. On June 5, 2013 Defendant did report for a scheduled office visit with his supervising officer and admitted that he had failed to follow instructions by not attending any AA meetings or scheduling his last session with his therapist at Eastwood Clinic in Detroit.

Defendant requests a bond and maintains that he will appear before Judge Duggan for his next violation hearing scheduled on July 11 at 3:00 p.m. Based upon Defendant's failure to appear for any and all of his weekly drug screens since his last violation hearing, and his multiple failures to respond to his supervising officer's phone calls, and his multiple failures to be at his home during the pre-arranged times for scheduled home visits with his supervising officer, this Court has no confidence that Defendant will appear in Court for his second scheduled violation hearing before Judge Duggan. In addition, since Judge Duggan ordered "ZERO TOLERANCE" with regard to Defendant's weekly alcohol and drug testing, and Defendant has succeeded in failing to appear for any of his scheduled drug screens, thereby rendering it impossible to determine if he has been compliant with his drug and alcohol restrictions and conditions. Defendant is deemed a risk of flight based upon a preponderance of the evidence presented, which outlines his recent pattern of noncompliance by wilfully failing to appear. Therefore Detention is Ordered.